1 LYNN HUBBARD, III, SBN 69773 SCOTTLYNN J HUBBARD, IV, SBN 212970 2 DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC 12 Williamsburg Lane 3 Chico, CA 95926 4 Telephone: (530) 895-3252 Facsimile: (530) 894-8244 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 No. '08 CV 1286 LAB JMA CHRIS KOHLER, 13 .14 Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Complaint 15 VS. 16 KABIR FOUR RESTAURANTS, 17 INC. dba DENNY'S **RESTAURANT #7897; DOWDY** 18 INVESTMENTS, LP, 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Kohler v. Kabir Four Restaurants, Inc., et al. Plaintiff's Complaint

Page 1

CR

### I. SUMMARY

1. This is a civil rights action by plaintiff Chris Kohler ("Kohler") for discrimination at the building, structure, facility, complex, property, land, development, and/or surrounding business complex known as:

Denny's Restaurant #7897 3704 Camino del Rio West San Diego, CA 92110 (hereafter "the Restaurant")

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. Kohler seeks damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorney fees and costs, against Kabir Four Restaurants, Inc. dba Denny's Restaurant #7897 and Dowdy Investments, LP (collectively "Denny's") pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq.), and related California statutes.

#### II. JURISDICTION

- 3. This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 for ADA claims.
- 4. Supplemental jurisdiction for claims brought under parallel California law—arising from the same nucleus of operative facts—is predicated on 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
  - 5. Kohler's claims are authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

#### III. VENUE

6. All actions complained of herein take place within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court, Southern District of California, and venue is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c).

#### IV. PARTIES

7. Denny's owns, operates, and/or leases the Restaurant, and consists of a person (or persons), firm, and/or corporation.

Kohler v. Kabir Four Restaurants, Inc., et al. Plaintiff's Complaint

1

6 7

8 9

11 12

10

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

8. Kohler was shot in the back in 1988, which left him paralyzed from the waist down. He requires the use of a wheelchair when traveling about in public. Consequently, Kohler is "physically disabled," as defined by all applicable California and United States laws, and a member of the public whose rights are protected by these laws.

### V. FACTS

- 9. The Restaurant is an establishment serving food and drink, open to the public, which is intended for nonresidential use and whose operation affects commerce.
- Kohler visited the Restaurant and encountered barriers (both 10. physical and intangible) that interfered with—if not outright denied—his ability to use and enjoy the goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the facility. To the extent known by Kohler, the barriers at the Restaurant included, but are not limited to, the following:
  - The van accessible signage posted in not correct;
  - The entrance door has insufficient strike side clearance;
  - There is no International Symbol of Accessibility at the entrance door to indicate that the Restaurant is accessible;
  - There is no seating designated as being accessible to the disabled;
  - There is no disabled seating available;
  - The men's restroom door requires more than five (5) pounds of force to operate;
  - The accessible stall door is not self closing;
  - There is no handle mounted below the lock on the stall door;
  - The pipes underneath the lavatory are not properly or completely wrapped;
  - There is insufficient knee clearance underneath the lavatory; and,
  - There is insufficient strike side clearance when leaving the restroom.

These barriers prevented Kohler from enjoying full and equal access.

Kohler v. Kabir Four Restaurants, Inc., et al. Plaintiff's Complaint

- 11. Kohler was also deterred from visiting the Restaurant because he knew that the Restaurant's goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations were unavailable to physically disabled patrons (such as himself). He continues to be deterred from visiting the Restaurant because of the future threats of injury created by these barriers.
- 12. Kohler also encountered barriers at the Restaurant, which violate state and federal law, but were unrelated to his disability. Nothing within this Complaint, however, should be construed as an allegation that Kohler is seeking to remove barriers unrelated to his disability.
- 13. Denny's knew that these elements and areas of the Restaurant were inaccessible, violate state and federal law, and interfere with (or deny) access to the physically disabled. Moreover, Denny's has the financial resources to remove these barriers from the Restaurant (without much difficulty or expense), and make the Restaurant accessible to the physically disabled. To date, however, Denny's refuses to either remove those barriers or seek an unreasonable hardship exemption to excuse non-compliance.
- 14. At all relevant times, Denny's has possessed and enjoyed sufficient control and authority to modify the Restaurant to remove impediments to wheelchair access and to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines and Title 24 regulations. Denny's has not removed such impediments and has not modified the Restaurant to conform to accessibility standards. Denny's has intentionally maintained the Restaurant in its current condition and has intentionally refrained from altering the Restaurant so that it complies with the accessibility standards.
- 15. Kohler further alleges that the (continued) presence of barriers at the Restaurant is so obvious as to establish Denny's discriminatory intent. On

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E.g., Gunther v. Lin, 144 Cal.App.4th 223, fn. 6 Kohler v. Kabir Four Restaurants, Inc., et al. Plaintiff's Complaint

information and belief, Kohler avers that evidence of this discriminatory intent

includes Denny's refusal to adhere to relevant building standards; disregard for

the building plans and permits issued for the Restaurant; conscientious decision

to the architectural layout (as it currently exists) at the Restaurant; decision not

to remove barriers from the Restaurant; and allowance that the Restaurant

information and belief, that Denny's is not in the midst of a remodel, and that the

barriers present at the Restaurant are not isolated (or temporary) interruptions in

Kohler further alleges, on

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

continues to exist in its non-compliant state.

access due to maintenance or repairs.<sup>2</sup>

### VI. FIRST CLAIM

### Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

### Denial of "Full and Equal" Enjoyment and Use

- 16. Kohler incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 for this claim.
- Title III of the ADA holds as a "general rule" that no individual 17. shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment (or use) of goods, services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
- Denny's discriminated against Kohler by denying "full and equal 18. enjoyment" and use of the goods, services, facilities, privileges or accommodations of the Restaurant during each visit and each incident of deterrence.

### Failure to Remove Architectural Barriers in an Existing Facility

The ADA specifically prohibits failing to remove architectural 19. barriers, which are structural in nature, in existing facilities where such removal

Id.; 28 C.F.R. § 36.211(b)

is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv). The term "readily achievable" is defined as "easily accomplishable and able to be carried out without much difficulty or expense." <u>Id.</u> § 12181(9).

- 20. When an entity can demonstrate that removal of a barrier is not readily achievable, a failure to make goods, services, facilities, or accommodations available through alternative methods is also specifically prohibited if these methods are readily achievable. <u>Id.</u> § 12182(b)(2)(A)(v).
- 21. Here, Kohler alleges that Denny's can easily remove the architectural barriers at the Restaurant without much difficulty or expense, and that Denny's violated the ADA by failing to remove those barriers, when it was readily achievable to do so.
- 22. In the alternative, if it was not "readily achievable" for Denny's to remove the Restaurant's barriers, then Denny's violated the ADA by failing to make the required services available through alternative methods, which are readily achievable.

### Failure to Design and Construct an Accessible Facility

- 23. On information and belief, the Restaurant was designed or constructed (or both) after January 26, 1992—independently triggering access requirements under Title III of the ADA.
- 24. The ADA also prohibits designing and constructing facilities for first occupancy after January 26, 1993, that aren't readily accessible to, and usable by, individuals with disabilities when it was structurally practicable to do so. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1).
- 25. Here, Denny's violated the ADA by designing or constructing (or both) the Restaurant in a manner that was not readily accessible to the physically disabled public—including Kohler—when it was structurally practical to do so.<sup>3</sup>

Nothing within this Complaint should be construed as an allegation that plaintiff is bringing this action as a private attorney general under either state or federal statutes.

### Failure to Make an Altered Facility Accessible

- 26. On information and belief, the Restaurant was modified after January 26, 1992, independently triggering access requirements under the ADA.
- 27. The ADA also requires that facilities altered in a manner that affects (or could affect) its usability must be made readily accessible to individuals with disabilities to the maximum extent feasible. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2). Altering an area that contains a facility's primary function also requires adding making the paths of travel, bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving that area accessible to the maximum extent feasible. Id.
- 28. Here, Denny's altered the Restaurant in a manner that violated the ADA and was not readily accessible to the physically disabled public—including Kohler—to the maximum extent feasible.

### Failure to Modify Existing Policies and Procedures

- 29. The ADA also requires reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter their nature. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 30. Here, Denny's violated the ADA by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures at the Restaurant, when these modifications were necessary to afford (and would not fundamentally alter the nature of) these goods, services, facilities, or accommodations.
- 31. Kohler seeks all relief available under the ADA (*i.e.*, injunctive relief, attorney fees, costs, legal expense) for these aforementioned violations. 42 U.S.C. § 12205.
- 32. Kohler also seeks a finding from this Court (*i.e.*, declaratory relief) that Denny's violated the ADA in order to pursue damages under California's Unruh Civil Rights Act or Disabled Persons Act.

Kohler v. Kabir Four Restaurants, Inc., et al. Plaintiff's Complaint

### 

# 

### 

### 

# 

### 

#### VII. SECOND CLAIM

### **Disabled Persons Act**

- 33. Kohler incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 for this claim.
- 34. California Civil Code § 54 states, in part, that: Individuals with disabilities have the same right as the general public to the full and free use of the streets, sidewalks, walkways, public buildings and facilities, and other public places.
- 35. California Civil Code § 54.1 also states, in part, that: Individuals with disabilities shall be entitled to full and equal access to accommodations, facilities, telephone facilities, places of public accommodation, and other places to which the general public is invited.
- 36. Both sections specifically incorporate (by reference) an individual's rights under the ADA. See Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d).
- 37. Here, Denny's discriminated against the physically disabled public—including Kohler—by denying them full and equal access to the Restaurant. Denny's also violated Kohler's rights under the ADA, and, therefore, infringed upon or violated (or both) Kohler's rights under the Disabled Persons Act.
- 38. <u>For each offense</u> of the Disabled Persons Act, Kohler seeks actual damages (both general and special damages), statutory minimum damages of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), declaratory relief, and any other remedy available under California Civil Code § 54.3.
- 39. He also seeks to enjoin Denny's from violating the Disabled Persons Act (and ADA) under California Civil Code § 55, and to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and incurred under California Civil Code §§ 54.3 and 55.

### 2

# 3 4

# 567

# 8

9

# 10

### 11

# 1213

# 1415

# 16

# 1718

### 19

## 20

### 21

### 22

23

# 24

# 2526

### 27

### 28

### Kohler v. Kabir Four Restaurants, Inc., et al. Plaintiff's Complaint

### VIII. THIRD CLAIM

### **Unruh Civil Rights Act**

- 40. Kohler incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 for this claim.
- 41. California Civil Code § 51 states, in part, that: All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever.
- 42. California Civil Code § 51.5 also states, in part, that: No business establishment of any kind whatsoever shall discriminate against any person in this state because of the disability of the person.
- 43. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifically incorporates (by reference) an individual's rights under the ADA into the Unruh Act.
- 44. Denny's aforementioned acts and omissions denied the physically disabled public—including Kohler—full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges and services in a business establishment (because of their physical disability).
- 45. These acts and omissions (including the ones that violate the ADA) denied, aided or incited a denial, or discriminated against Kohler by violating the Unruh Act.
- 46. Kohler was damaged by Denny's wrongful conduct, and seeks statutory minimum damages of four thousand dollars (\$4,000) for each offense.
- 47. Kohler also seeks to enjoin DENNY'S from violating the Unruh Act (and ADA), and recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred under California Civil Code § 52(a).

### IX. FOURTH CLAIM

### Denial of Full and Equal Access to Public Facilities

- 48. Kohler incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 for this claim.
- 49. Health and Safety Code § 19955(a) states, in part, that: California public accommodations or facilities (built with private funds) shall adhere to the provisions of Government Code § 4450.
- 50. Health and Safety Code § 19959 states, in part, that: Every existing (non-exempt) public accommodation constructed prior to July 1, 1970, which is altered or structurally repaired, is required to comply with this chapter.
- 51. Kohler alleges the Restaurant is a public accommodation constructed, altered, or repaired in a manner that violates Part 5.5 of the Health and Safety Code or Government Code § 4450 (or both), and that the Restaurant was not exempt under Health and Safety Code § 19956.
- 52. Denny's non-compliance with these requirements at the Restaurant aggrieved (or potentially aggrieved) Kohler and other persons with physical disabilities. Accordingly, he seeks injunctive relief and attorney fees pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 19953.

#### X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Kohler prays judgment against Denny's for:

- 1. Injunctive relief, preventive relief, or any other relief the Court deems proper.
- 2. Declaratory relief that Denny's violated the ADA for the purposes of Unruh Act or Disabled Persons Act damages.
- 3. Statutory minimum damages under either sections 52(a) or 54.3(a) of the California Civil Code (but not both) according to proof.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Interest at the legal rate from the date of the filing of this action.

5.

DATED: July 15, 2008

DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC

LYNN HUBBARD, III
Attorney for Plaintiff

This includes attorneys' fees under California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Kohler v. Kabir Four Restaurants, Inc., et al.

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 153112 - MB

July 17, 2008 13:02:28

### Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1286 CIVIL FILING

Judge..: LARRY A BURNS

Amount.:

\$350.00 CC

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: CHRIS KOHLER VS KABIR FOURS RESTAURANTS DBA DENNYS #7897

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE II	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)		ILE	<b>9</b>
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	00 111 13 -	
• •	of First Listed Plaintiff SAN DIEGO XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	RESTAURANT County of Residence of NOTE: IN LANJ	RESTAUMANTS, INC. IS #7897: DOWDY INVES #7897: DOWDY INVES U.S. DISTRICT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ON D CONDEMNATION CASES, USE NVOLVED.	COMET TO THE LOCATION OF THE
YNN HUBBARD, III	e, Address, and Telephone Number)  DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, AF	Attorneys (If Known)	3 CV 1286 L	AB JMA
	Chico, CA 95926 (530) 895-3252	III. CITIZENCIIID CE D	DINGIPAL BARRIEG	
II. BASIS OF JURISE  1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF  cipal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<ul> <li>4 Diversity         (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)     </li> </ul>		2 D 2 Incorporated and Print of Business In An	other State
		Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			
,	FESSEREN, CARRESTORTS DE ARRESTA E SAM			
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability Liability Liability 270 Other Personal Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 371 Truth in Lending 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability	620 Other Food & Drug     625 Drug Related Scizure     630 Liquor Laws     630 Liquor Laws     640 R.R. & Truck     650 Airline Regs.     660 Occupational     Safety/Health     690 Other     710 Fair Labor Standards     Act     720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting     & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.     Security Act     462 Naturalization Application     463 Habeas Corpus -	423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and   Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/   Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   895 Freedom of Information   Act   900Appeal of Fee Determination   Under Equal Access   to Justice   950 Constitutionality of   State Statutes
□ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anoth (speci		Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 42 U.S.C. Section 12101, et sec Brief description of cause: Ongoing violations of the ADA C		al statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			CHECK YES only if JURY DEMAND:	demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 07/15/2008	SIGNATURE OF AT	PORNEY OF RECORD	and the second second	
100000	AMOUNT \$350.60 APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDO	GE
M	6 07/17/08			



### JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/07)

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box I or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

  Example:
  U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
  Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.